# Event-driven Motion Compensation in clinical PET

.....

<u>J. Langner</u><sup>1</sup>, F. Hofheinz<sup>3</sup>, B. Beuthien-Baumann<sup>2</sup>, J. van den Hoff<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PET center, Institute of Radiopharmacy, Forschungszentrum Dresden-Rossendorf
 <sup>2</sup> Clinic of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus Dresden
 <sup>3</sup> ABX advanced biochemical compounds, Radeberg





- Patient movements during PET investigations are problematic and unavoidable
  - PET images represent "long exposure pictures" (minutes ... hours)
  - Motion compromises qualitative and quantitative integrity of PET images (image artifacts, incorrect time-activity-curves (TAC), kinetic parameters, SUV, ...)
- Improving scanner resolution increases problem
- Different motion compensation techniques exist own development of event-driven motion compensation technique:
  - Bühler, P., et al. IEEE Med. Imaging. 2004; 23(9): 1176-1185
  - Langner, J., et al. Z. Med. Phys. 2006; 16(1):75-82.
  - Langner, J., et al. IEEE MIC 2008; Oct 22 Oct 25, Dresden
  - Langner, J., et al. DGN 2006-2010

## Aim

 Analysis of the qualitative and quantitative effects on a large clinical patient collective





Acquisition in *List-mode* data format (raw data)
 > Registration of each coincidence event



## Method – Event-based motion correction



Acquisition in *List-mode* data format (raw data)
 > Registration of each coincidence event





- External motion detection via optical tracking system
- Integrated infrared flashes
- passive marker system
- Max. sampling rate 60Hz,
  < 1 mm resolution</li>
- Output:
  - 3 translation parameter
  - 3 rotation parameter









- <u>Problem</u>: significance of motion can not be directly derived from motion parameters (rotation dependence)
- 1. Application of motion parameters to the anatomical structure that is analysed (e.g. surface of a sphere as an approx. head)
- 2. Calculation of the euclidean distance for selected points on the structure to their origin (for thresholding)





## Method – Motion Quantification - Example



#### **Motion Tracking Curve**



minimum movement [mm]

maximum movement [mm]

Forschungszentrum Dresden-Rossendorf • PET center, Institute of Radiopharmacy • Jens Langner • 29. September 2010

## **Method – Motion Quantification - Example**









- 467 [18F]DOPA examinations (DD M. Parkinson)
  - 55 min 3D-Listmode, 27 frames, ECAT Exact HR+
    266 male, 201 female, avg. age: 61.5 ± 11.8 (sd)
- For 29% movement > 7 mm found









- 8 ROI (3D) within striatum + 1 ROI as reference tissue occipital (independent positioning before and after MC)
- Comparison of time-activity-curves (TAC) and input rates (R<sub>0</sub>k<sub>3</sub>) of a two compartment reference tissue model (Patlak analysis)











Forschungszentrum Dresden-Rossendorf • PET center, Institute of Radiopharmacy • Jens Langner • 29. September 2010



Without motion compensaton With motion compensaton Activity concentration [Bq/cc] Activity concentration [Bq/cc] Ġ ref.1.mean ref.1.mean 2ncr.1.mean ncr.1.mean ncl.1.mean ncl.1.mean Time [s] Time [s]

Large differences in TAC before/after MC





## distribution max. R0k3 difference (pre/post MC)





## **Results – qualitative analysis**





- Improvement of image contrast
- Reduction of image artifacts





## Summary

- Patient movements can have a large impact on PET examinations
- > 50 % of all analysed studies showed motion larger than resolution of current PET systems (4 – 5 mm)
- Severe effects on quantification parameters (TAC, SUV, etc.) also for small motions visible
- 8 10 % of the examinations had to be repeated in case no MC would have been available (-> increased radiation dose)

- Monitoring and Compensation of patient motion highly adviseable
- Improving resolution suggests motion compensation to be one of the limiting factors for the success of future PET systems
- MR-based multi modality systems (PET/MR) require to think about MC for MR data as well.

